

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP  
Stephen M. Hankins (CSB #154886)  
Jeffrey V. Commisso (CSB #191267)  
One Market, Spear Street Tower, 32nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 901-8700  
Facsimile: (415) 901-8701

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP<sup>1</sup>  
J. Kirk Quillian, Bar No. 591150  
A. William Loeffler, Bar No. 755699  
William M. Droze, Bar No. 231039  
5200 Bank of America Plaza  
600 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30308-2216  
Telephone: (404) 885-3000  
Facsimile: (404) 885-3900

Attorneys for Defendant  
WYNDHAM RESORT DEVELOPMENT  
CORPORATION<sup>2</sup>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLARKE and REBECCA WIXON and  
NORMAN and BARBARA WIXON,  
derivatively and on behalf of themselves  
and all other similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WYNDHAM RESORT DEVELOPMENT  
CORP. (f/k/a Trendwest Resorts, Inc.),  
GENE HENSLEY, DAVID HERRICK,  
JOHN HENLEY, PEGGY FRY, JOHN  
McCONNELL, and nominally,  
WORLD MARK, THE CLUB,

Defendants.

Case No. C 07-02361 JSW

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANT WYNDHAM RESORT  
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION'S AND  
PLAINTIFFS' JOINT MOTION FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO EXCEED  
PAGE LIMITATION

CLASS AND DERIVATIVE ACTION

Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

<sup>1</sup> Admitted *pro hac vice*.

<sup>2</sup> Other Counsel of record listed on signature page.

1 Having reviewed the parties' papers and the relevant legal authority, and for other good  
2 cause shown, the Court hereby GRANTS the joint motion of Defendant Wyndham Resort  
3 Development Corporation ("WRDC") and Plaintiffs for administrative relief to exceed page  
4 limitation. WRDC may have up to 25 pages for its memorandum of law in opposition to  
5 Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs may have up to 20 pages for their reply  
6 memorandum in support of their motion for class certification.  
7

8  
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10  
11 Dated May 7, 2009, 2009

12  
13   
14 HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE

15 The Court notes that the parties need not file both a Joint Unopposed Motion and a  
16 Stipulation. In the future, the parties need only submit a stipulation and proposed order, and  
17 the Court shall either grant or deny the stipulated request.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28